

Chemical Concepts

International Journal of Chemical Concepts

ISSN:2395-4256

www.chemconsai.com Vol.06, No.01, pp 08-12, 2020

Crystal structure analysisand synthesis of 4-((2(2bromophenoxy)Phenyl)ethynyl)-N,N-diethylaniline

K. Hemanathan¹, Kanagaraj Naveen², P. T. Perumal^{2*}, K. Sakthi Murugesan^{1*}

¹Department of Physics, Presidency College (Autonomous), Chennai-600 005, India ²Organic & Bio-organic Chemistry Division, CSIR-Central Leather Research Institute, Adyar, Chennai-600 020, India

Abstract: Single crystals of4-((2(2-bromophenoxy)phenyl)ethynyl)-N,N-diethylanilinewere grown by slow evaporation method and X-ray diffraction analysis reveals monoclinic P21/c space groupwith unit cell dimensions of a = 9.0761 (9) Å, b= 7.5220(7) Å, c= 30.468 (3) Å and β = 97.921(3)°.Crystal data were collected using BRUKER SMART APEX II CCDX-ray diffractometer. The structure was solved by direct method and refined on F² by full-matrixleast-squares procedure to the final R₁ of 0.051 using SHELXL programs.

Key Words: Bromophenoxy, N, N-diethylaniline, Crystal packing and crystal structure.

Introduction

N,N-diethylaniline usually formscharge transfer complexes with electron deficient nitroaromatics which is revealed through the existence of π ---- π stacking in single crystal X-ray diffraction studies¹. The C13—C14 bond was flagged² as being longer than expected for a C*sp*2—C*sp* bond, but similar equivalent C—C bond lengths have been seen in other cyanoethenyl groupings [*e.g;* bond length = 1.194 (6) A]³.

Experimental

X-ray Structure Determination

Single crystal of the compound suitable for x-ray diffraction was obtained by slow evaporationmethod. Three dimensional intensity data were collected on a Bruker⁴ SMART APEX CCD Diffractometerusing graphite monochromatized Mo-K α radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) at Department of chemistry, IIT, Chennai, India. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined on F² by full-matrixleast-squares procedures using the SHELXL programs⁵. All the non-hydrogen atoms were refined usingisotropic and later anisotropic thermal parameters. The hydrogen atoms were included in the structure factorcalculation at idealized positions by using a riding model, but not refined. Images were created with ORTEP-3⁶.The crystallographic data for the compound are listed in Table 1.

Synthesis of the compound

To a dry two neck 50 ml RB flask, $PdCl_2$ (PPh_3)₂ (3 mol-%) and CuI (3 mol-%) were added to the dissolved aryl iodide **1** (0.50 mmol,) in NEt₃ under nitrogen atmosphere and stirred another 10 minutes in room temperature. Then, dissolved solution of alkyne**2** in NEt₃ (0.50 mmol,) was added to reaction mixture and stirred another 6h (monitored by TLC). After completion, the reaction mixture was filtered through celite pad and washed with EtOAc, evaporated solvent under reduced pressure. The residue purified by siliga gel column chromatography on eluting with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (0 - 10%) to afford product **3** in 80 % yield.



Compound	Parameters		
Empirical formula	$C_{48}H_{44} Br_2 N_2 O_2$		
Formula weight	840.67		
Temperature	293(2) K		
Wavelength	0.71073 Å		
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, P21/c		
Unit cell dimensions	a = 9.0761(9) Åalpha = 90° b = 7.5220(7) Å beta = 97.921° c = 30.468(3) Ågamma = 90°		
Volume	$2060.2(3) \text{ Å}^3$		
Z, Calculated density	2, 1.355 Mg/m^3		
Absorption coefficient	2.008 mm ⁻¹		
F(000)	864		
Crystal size	0.30 x 0.25 x 0.20 mm		
Theta range for data collection	2.27 to 21.87 deg.		
Limiting indices	-6<=h<=9, -7<=k<=7, -25<=l<=31.		
Reflections collected / unique	4691 / 2442 [R(int) = 0.0264]		
Completeness to theta $= 21.87$	98.2%		
Max. and min. transmission	0.669 and 0.553		
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²		
Data / restraints / parameters	2442 / 0 / 246		
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.048		
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0518 , wR2 = 0.1175		
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0715, wR2 = 0.1257		
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.418 and -0.587 e. $Å^{-3}$		

Tuble If of your auta and be actare remember of the threa compour	Tab	le 1	:0	Crystal	data	and	structure	refinement	of	' the	titled	compou	n
---	-----	------	----	---------	------	-----	-----------	------------	----	-------	--------	--------	---

Results and Discussion

In the title compound $C_{48}H_{44}Br_2N_2O_2$ the dihedral angle between the mean planes of the bromophenoxy ring (C1-C6) and the phenyl ring (C7-C12) system is 84.9(3)°. They are slightly twisted out of the plane of the N-methylethanamine moiety with torsion angles of C-C-N-C and C-N-C-C -93.3(5) and -85.4(5), indicating a (-) anti-clinal and (-) syn-clinal conformation for this groups. Two phenyl rings (C7-C12, C15-C20) are coplanar with making dihedral angle of 2.5(2)°. The bromine and oxygen atoms deviate from phenyl ring by -0.036 and - 0.141Å, respectively. The crystal structure stabilized by C4-H4...Cg2,C11-H11...Cg3 and C17-H17...Cg3 intermolecular interactions of Cg2 and Cg3. The symmetry codes i) x,-1+y,z;ii) 1-x,1/2+y,1/2-z; iii) -x,-1/2+y,1/2-z. The packing view of the title compound is shown in fig. (2)

D—HA	D—H	HA	DA	D—HA
$C4-H4Cg2^{i}$	0.93	2.89	3.752(7)	154
C11-	0.93	2.85	3.646(5)	144
H11Cg3 ⁱⁱ				
C17-	0.93	2.88	3.664(5)	143
H17Cg3 ⁱⁱⁱ				
C19-				
H19Cg2 ⁱⁱ	0.93	2.90	3.696(5)	144

Table 2: Hydrogen-bond geometry [Å]

Symmetry code:

i) x,-1+y,z ii) 1-x,1/2+y,1/2-z iii) -x,-1/2+y,1/2-z



Fig.1. The molecular structure of the title compound with atom labeling. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.



Fig.2. The crystal packing of the titled compound forming C-H... π interactions viewed along aaxis. The hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines(see Table 2 for details).

Table 3: Selected Bond lengths (Å)

Atom	Length	Atom	Length
C(1)-C(2)	1.384(7)	C(13)-C(14)	1.194(6)
C(1)-Br(1)	1.880(5)	C(14)-C(15)	1.425(7)
C(1)-C(6)	1.376(6)	C(18)-N(1)	1.375(5)
C(6)-O(1)	1.381(6)	C(21)-N(1)	1.450(6)
C(7)-O(1)	1.376(5)	C(23)-N(1)	1.458(6)
C(5)-C(6)	1.355(7)	C(21)-C(22)	1.515(7)
C(12)-C(13)	1.430(7)	C(23)-C(24)	1.518(7)

Table 4: Selected Bond angles (°)

Atom	Angle	Atom	Angle
C(6)-C(1)-C(2)	119.4(5)	N(1)-C(21)-H(21A)	108.9
C(6)-C(1)-Br(1)	120.5(4)	H(21A)-C(21)-H(21B)	107.7
C(2)-C(1)-Br(1)	120.0(4)	N(1)-C(23)-C(24)	113.3(4)
C(1)-C(2)-H(2)	120.2	N(1)-C(23)-H(23B)	108.9
C(1)-C(6)-O(1)	118.2(5)	H(24B)-C(24)-H(24C)	109.5
C(11)-C(10)-H(10)	120.0	C(18)-N(1)-C(23)	121.6(4)
N(1)-C(18)-C(19)	121.9(4)	C(21)-N(1)-C(23)	116.7(4)
N(1)-C(21)-C(22)	113.3(4)	C(7)-O(1)-C(6)	119.3(3)

Conclusion

The crystal structure analysis of a novel ethynyl and aniline compound was studied using x-ray diffraction method. In the compound, the crystal packing is stabilized by intermolecular C—H... π hydrogen bonds.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Department of chemistry, IIT, Chennai, India, for X-ray intensity data collection.

References

- 1. Vembu, N. & Fronczek, F. R. (2009). Acta Cryst. E65, o111-o112
- 2. Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7±13.
- 3. Ishii, K., Shimada, Y., Sugiyama, S. & Noji, M. (2000). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans.1, pp. 3022±3024
- 4. Bruker (2008), APEX2, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, US.
- 5. Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112–122.
- 6. Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849--854.
